

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/775,961		02/10/2004	Paul J. Thompson	23,369-162	1606
23452	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				PREBILIC, PAUL B	
LARKIN, H	OFFMA	N, DALY & LINDGE	REN, LTD.		
1500 WELL	S FARG	O PLAZA	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7900 XERX	ES AVE	NUE SOUTH		3738	
BLOOMING	GTON, 1	MN 55431		DATE MAIL ED: 06/01/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
055 4-6 0	10/775,961	THOMPSON, PAUL J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the second part of the maximum statutory period by the Office later than three months after the maximum state of the m	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1.</b>
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	<u> 8 March 2005</u> .		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	i
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		!	
4) Claim(s) 60-77 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-70</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	<i>t</i> *	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a);	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •	ł).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on March 3, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patents 6689162, 6342068, 6019768, and 6592617 and US Patent Application Serial Number 10/619888 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 60-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The newly added language "are so altered" renders the claim language unclear because it is not clear whether the alteration is to the tubular profiles earlier mentioned or not. The Examiner suggests inserting thereafter —to their selected nominal shapes forming windings having tubular profiles—in order to overcome this objection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Claims 60, 62-64, 66, 69, 71-73, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mazzocchi (US 6,712,835). Mazzocchi anticipates the claim language where the medical device disclosed therein is a prosthesis structure as claimed and heat setting of the braid results in the tubular profile as the wires of the braid become set in that shape; see column 6, lines 8-18 as well as column 4, line 30 to column 5, line 67.

Claims 60-64, 66, 68-73, 75, and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burmeister (US 6,582,461). Burmeister anticipates the claim language where the braided prosthesis structure where the strands thereof form tubular profiles is shown in Figures 1, 6, and 14 where at least the martinsitic strands are plastic and deformable and thus have the tubular profiles as claimed; see column 7, lines 16-22.

Regarding claims 61 and 70, the two different strands are the martinsitic strands that are more compliant than the austenitic strands; see column 2, lines 64-66.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 65 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzocchi (US 5,712,835) or Burmeister (US 6,582,461) in view of Schneider (WO-94/24961). Mazzocchi and Burmeister both teach using various metals to make the prosthetic structures thereof, but fail to disclose using a cobalt-chromium-molybdenum alloy for the metal structures as claimed. However, Schneider teaches that it was known to make similar prosthetic structures out of alloys of cobalt, chromium, and molybdenum; see page 8, lines 4-21. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to make some of the metal strands of either Mazzocchi or Burmeister out of this alloy for the same reasons that Schneider uses the same and in order to provide the structures with a "biocompatible and flexible yet rigid material."

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 67 and 76 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims provide metal strands which have tubular profiles in an unstressed state which are combined with the materials claimed. This combination is not shown or suggested in the prior art which only attempt to heat set the metal strands (see Mazzocchi and Burmeister, supra). For this reason, the claims are considered patentable over the prior art of record.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 60-77 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/775,961

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738 Page 6